



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,722	08/27/2001	Ronald A. Schachar	PRES06-00217	5803

7590 04/27/2007
Docket Clerk
P.O. Drawer 800889
Dallas, TX 75380

EXAMINER

WILLSE, DAVID H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3738

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

14

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/940,722		SCHACHAR, RONALD A.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Dave Willse		3738	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 61-106 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 71-73, 91-93 and 104-106 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 61-70, 74-90 and 94-103 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 61-68, 70, 74-88, 90, 94-101, and 103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schachar, US 5,354,331. Regarding claim 1 and others, Schachar '331 discloses an ocular scleral prosthesis in the form of an adjustable scleral expansion band (column 7, lines 1-4) comprising at least one elongated body in the form of a strip of material (column 7, lines 4-8) capable of being implanted in a corresponding elongated pocket within scleral tissue of an eye in the zone exterior to the ciliary body (column 7, lines 36-39). Inner and outer surfaces of said band are capable of contacting the base and the flap of the scleral pocket (column 7, lines 39-42; drawings) and are separated by enough distance or thickness to impart sufficient structural characteristics (e.g., strength) to elevate the flap and exert outwardly directed traction on at least the anterior margin of the pocket (column 7, lines 8-12; column 5, lines 38-41; etc.). The Schachar '331 adjustable band is structured to be positioned in the region of the ciliary body and thus generally coincides with a "small circle" rather than a "great circle" of the eye globe (as illustrated in the Applicant's own Figure 4, for example). According to the *Wikipedia* online encyclopedia (accessible through onelook.com), "[a] **great circle** is a circle on the surface of a

Art Unit: 3738

sphere that has the same circumference as the sphere, dividing the sphere into two equal hemispheres”, and “[a] **small circle** of a sphere is the circle constructed by a plane crossing the sphere not in its center”; “[s]mall circles always have smaller diameters than the sphere itself (compare great circle)”. Moreover, in the cases where the band is positioned “within or just inside the sclera” (column 7, lines 37-38), the aggregate length or circumference of the band is *necessarily* less than the (outer) circumference of the expanded globe in said zone.

In regard to claim 61, the ordinary practitioner would have interpreted the sentence at column 7, lines 1-3, to mean that two or more elongated, arc-shaped “parts” can be used to form the band. The transitional term “comprising” is open-ended or inclusive and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements (MPEP 2111.03), so the “elongated body” (instant claim 61, line 3) can be equated with only one of the Schachar ‘331 “parts”, which each subtend an angle of less than about 180° so that the linear distance between the ends (or end regions) is greater than the linear distance between an end and an intermediate portion. The ends are unattached to any other member prior to installation (column 7, line 3), and the Applicant’s own elongated body ends *are* attached, after installation, to the sclera itself, which is certainly a “member” (instant claim 61, lines 4-5). Additionally, the alternative scleral alloplant strip (column 8, lines 22-26) clearly meets the newly added limitations, with the “means for expanding” (instant claim 61, last two lines) corresponding to the weakening and engrafting of the sclera (column 8, lines 3-4, 12-19, and 22-23). Regarding claim 62, the anterior outer edge, for example, of the arc-shaped band is viewed as a ridge or crest. Regarding claim 94 and others, the adjustable body (column 7, lines 4-12) comprises a base member in the form of a screw having an elongated planform with a major (length) dimension, a minor (radial) dimension, and a thread or ridge member on both the

Art Unit: 3738

outer major (semi-cylindrical) surface and the inner major (semi-cylindrical) surface; the thread or ridge member engages an internally threaded element to alter the circumference of the band and thereby apply a force to the contacted ocular tissue.

Claims 61-70, 74-79, 89, and 102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schachar, US 5,354,331. Regarding claims 61 and 74, the “plurality of parts” (column 7, line 2) being greater than two parts so that each part subtends an angle of much less than 180° (and thereby clearly meets the newly added limitations pertaining to “linearly more distal” and greater “distance”) would have been obvious, if not inherent, from the use of the word “plurality” rather than “pair” and from the innate goal of providing various options to the surgeon, with further motivation having been provided by the contemplated removal of the band (column 8, lines 52-58): an arc-shaped part or component would require less complicated excision techniques than a one or two piece annular implant. Regarding claims 69, 89, and 102, the particular dimensions for each of the “plurality of parts” (column 7, line 2) would have been obvious from anatomical considerations.

The Applicant’s remarks have been considered and are adequately addressed in the grounds of rejection set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse, whose telephone number is 571-272-4762 and who is generally available Monday through Thursday and often on Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,722

Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dave Willse', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Dave Willse
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3738